$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Research Paper} \\ \mbox{Due Tuesday May 10}^{th} \mbox{ by 11:59 PM in the Dropbox} \\ \mbox{Final Grade} - 30\% \end{array}$

Background: Throughout the semester and various assignments you have become an expert in a NRM; now it is time to show it. Building off of all the work you have already completed this semester relating to your chosen NRM, you will create six word clouds and analyze them throughout the paper, comparing the presentation and language of your NRM using a variety of sources.

Assignment

- 1. Take the six sources you covered in your Annotated Bibliography. If I did not approve all of your sources in the Annotated Bibliography, consult with me and together we will find appropriate sources.
 - a. After reading the sources, go to <u>http://www.wordclouds.com/</u> or <u>https://tagul.com/</u>.
 - b. Plug in your selected texts to create **two to six** "Word Clouds." We will talk more about creating these in class.
 - c. If you are using Tagul, you may use the first five pages of the PDF; if you use WordClouds, you will put in the entire PDF or link.
- 2. Write a 6-8 page paper analyzing how the experience is presented differently in the sources, including the different words that were emphasized in each Word Cloud. No matter how many Clouds you have, **spend equal time on the popular and the scholarly sources.** Make sure to also turn in your Word Clouds as appendices in your paper.
 - a. Answer 3 of the 6 following questions (you can address all or other questions but 3 of these are required):
 - i. How are the presentations of the experience similar or different?
 - ii. What words were similar among them? What were different?
 - iii. Can you tell who the audience of the source is just by looking at the Word Cloud?
 - iv. Why was the source written the way it was?
 - v. How do these sources enhance your understanding of the NRM?
 - vi. Did the way the sources were written affect your understanding of the material?
- 3. Make sure that you not only analyze the Word Clouds themselves but also compare and contrast them!

Nuts and Bolts: This is a research paper so its needs to be *argumentative*! I expect to see a thesis statement and argument throughout the paper.

- 1. Submit the paper in Word or RTF (rich text file) format. If you don't have Word, I suggest sending the paper to yourself and re-saving it on a school computer.
- 2. 12-point font, Times New Roman, 1-inch margins, double spaced, paginated.
- 3. Use Chicago Style Notes/Bibliography for all citations.

Grading Rubric

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Thesis	Highly original,	Argues a complex	Argues a basic	Very vague,
Statement	clear, and	concept	concept	undefined, or not
(10)	argumentative			arguable
	(10-9)	(8-7)	(6)	(5-0)
Comparison	Thorough	Good analysis of	Decent analysis	Little or no
of	analysis of voice	voice and	of voice and	analysis of voice
Sources	and language in	language but does	language but does	and language; does
(30)	all six articles	not address all six	not address all	not address all
		articles equally	sources	sources
	(30-27)	(26-21)	(22-18)	(17-0)
NRM	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	Demonstrates	Does not
depiction	thorough	good grasp of	slight grasp of	demonstrate any
(30)	understanding of	NRM studied	NRM studied	understanding of
	NRM			NRM studied
	(30-27)	(26-23)	(22-18)	(17-0)
Word Clouds	Clean, clear, and	Good word	Decent word	Poor or no word
(15)	well-presented	clouds that	clouds only	clouds that have
	word clouds that	enhance some	vaguely related to	nothing to do with
	compliment	aspects of paper	paper and not	paper or arguments
	student's paper	but are not always	visually	
		visually appealing	interesting	
	(15-14)	(13-12)	(11-9)	(8-0)
Organization	Logical	Mostly logical	Slightly logical	No perceptible
(5)	organizational	flow that slightly	flow that does not	organizational
	flow that	enhances reader	hinder reader	flow
	enhances reader	understanding	understanding	
	understanding			
	(10-9)	(8-7)	(6)	(5-0)
Language	Clear language,	Relatively clear	Some unclear	Incomprehensible;
(5)	few grammar	language and	language and	too many grammar
	mistakes	some grammar	many grammar	and language
		mistakes	mistakes	mistakes to count
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2-0)
Formatting	Chicago Style –	12 size font	Times New	1-inch margins
(5)	Notes		Roman	
	Bibliography			
	(2)	(1)	(1)	(1)

Comments: